

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,850 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	Gunter G. Fuss	A-65550-2/ESW	8393
40461	7590	02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARD			PATTERSON, MARC A		
1100 ALMA STREET, SUITE 207 MENLO PARK, CA 94025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					mb					
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)						
			,850	FUSS ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ier	Art Unit						
			Patterson	1772						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status >										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .								
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
'—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims	_								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 29-31 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.								
10) 🗌	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachment	t(e)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,850

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratcliffe (U.K. Patent No. 2,186,864) in view of Davies (U.K. Patent No. 1,402,962).

With regard to Claim 32, Ratcliffe discloses a cushioning chamber (bag; page 1, lines 47 -51) comprising a plastic bag sealed at its edges (therefore comprising two superposed layers of plastic film, which are sealed together peripherally; Abstract); the chamber is filled partially with a loose fill such as a plurality of individual particles being free to move about within the container (loose fill pieces of foam; page 1, lines 54 - 56); a plurality of perforations are made in the chambers (page 1, lines 51 - 59) and air is therefore able to pass into and out of the chamber, and the chamber is therefore able to conform to the shape of an object cushioned thereby. Ratcliffe fails to teach a chamber that is sealed peripherally in discrete areas with air passing into and out of the chamber through the spaces between the sealed areas.

Davies teaches a bag (therefore having a chamber; page 1, lines 20 - 22) which is sealed peripherally in discrete areas (having spaced – aligned point welds; page 1, lines 74 - 77) for the purpose of obtaining a container that allows undesirable air to escape (page 1, lines 46 - 49 and 78 - 81).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,850

Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the peripheral sealing of Davies in Ratcliffe, which is a chamber comprising a bag, depending on the desired escapability undesirable air of the end product.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Applicant's invention was made to have provided for peripheral sealing in discrete areas in

Ratcliffe in order to obtain a container that allows undesirable air to escape as taught by Davies.

With regard to Claims 33 - 34, the bag that is taught by Davies comprises a flattened tube (page 1, lines 25 - 27) and is sealed at both ends (page 1, lines 60 - 66), and the spaced apart sealed areas therefore extend along lines at opposite end of the chamber.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,850 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mare Patterson

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

HARULU PYUN SUDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TENT EXAMINER 2/18/05